

BIBLE WORD STUDY

"THE GREAT PRETENDER:" The New International Bible 2011

FEBRUARY 2012

davereese6@msn.com

NUMBER 3

King James Bible "fanatics" are not the only ones who see the New International Version's distortion of the Biblical text. N.T. Wright, no friend of the KJB, says of the NIV:

"...Disillusionment set in over the next two years, as I lectured verse by verse through several of Paul's letters, not least Galatians and Romans. Again and again, with the Greek text in front of me and the NIV beside it, I discovered that the translators had another principle, considerably higher than the stated one: to make sure that Paul should say what the broadly Protestant and evangelical tradition said he said. ...[I]f a church only, or mainly, relies on the NIV it will, quite simply, never understand what Paul was talking about."

"If a church only, or mainly, relies on the NIV it will, quite simply, never understand what Paul was talking about."

Wright, N. T. (2009). "Justification : God's Plan and Paul's Vision". Downers Grove, Ill. pp. 51–52. ISBN 978-0-8308-3863-9. Quoted on Wikipedia website article: NIV.

A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BIBLE PERVERSION AND PERVERTS


In 1971 a letter from Dr. J.A. Symonds, a London physician, was made known. This letter was not known to exist until almost 100 years after it was written. The letter was addressed to The Reverend Charles John Vaughan, the Headmaster of Harrow, a school for boys in England. In essence, Dr Symonds said he had written proof of Vaughan's sexual relationship with one of the student's at the school, a letter that Vaughan himself had written to the student. Unless Vaughan resigned immediately, and never accept another leading position in the Church of England, Symonds would make the relationship public. Vaughan immediately resigned and gave a syrupy reason for doing so as not wishing to become vain in his achievements at Harrow. Many were puzzled at his resignation and it was never understood. A while later Vaughan took a position as a rector but Symonds heard of it and Vaughan made another rapid resignation. Until Symonds death he held nothing but lowly offices in the Church. Then, in 1880 he joined the Revised Version Committee at the invitation and recommendation of the Reverend Brooke Foss Westcott. (See *endnote on Vaughan*).

Scene 11 and 12 of our story has to do with three characters:



1. The Rev Charles John Vaughn, Headmaster of Harrow School, London--a prestigious school with a long history in England from the 1400s to the mid 1800s where the "interesting" scenes occur. Vaughan was uncovered as a pedophile and forced to resign.

2. The Rev Brooke Foss Westcott, (of Westcott/Hort Sinaiticus/Vaticanus fame) was an Assistant Headmaster under Charles John Vaughan at Harrow School for over 15 years until Vaughan was forced to resign. (See *any ministry history of Westcott for verification*)



All of the documentation on the Symonds, Harrow, Hort and Vaughan scandal may be found on Wikipedia and "Family Ghosts" websites. The Symonds material may be easily Googled by anyone interested in further information. A library at Harrow was dedicated to C.J. Vaughan BEFORE the 1971 revelation of Dr Symond's letter was made known!

NOTE: Joe Paterno (of Penn State fame) knew his coach was doing many young boys but kept his mouth shut because ofWhat? (I believe some of the other big wigs at PSU knew it as well.) Was it because in years like 2011, before the proverbial hit the fan, that Penn State football put \$79 million plus in the bank?? What made Joe keep his mouth shut? Was it money? Was it because the so-called 'Blue and White' tradition, sentimentality and history was more important than scores of young boys lives? Was it to protect his so-called 'personal greatness?' I don't know. Of course Paterno has gone on to face a greater Court of Law than down here. His rapid health deterioration and decease almost makes it a sacrilege to even mention his involvement or motives, doesn't it? All of that sadness removes some of the anger toward the University and its sports program, eh? (The reader must have noticed the news silence since the death of Paterno.) Never take anything for granted where multi-millions of dollars are at stake and liable to be lost.

3. Dr. J. A. Symonds, MD, a London physician who made Vaughan resign under threat of exposure..

What happened was this: For almost 20 years "Reverend" Westcott worked as Assistant to Vaughan at Harrow. The "Reverend" Vaughan was a pedophile who carried on an extended love affair with at least one of the young boys at the school. (Younger students were called "bitches" by the older students, various acts were forced upon them, etc. When Vaughan came to the school he appeared to be a strict disciplinarian but somewhere along the way joined the fun.)

One of THE REVEREND VAUGHAN'S lovers was a student who was a friend of Dr. J.A. Symond's son. Dr. Symonds came into possession of a love letter from THE REVEREND VAUGHAN addressed to his son's friend. For several years the romance had gone on.

Dr. Symonds, a prominent London physician, quietly demanded that THE REVEREND VAUGHAN immediately resign and never take another prominent position in the Church of England.

Which makes a reasonable person wonder...."Could THE REVEREND WESTCOTT work as assistant to a queer that preyed on the young boys at Harrow, wrote love letters, etc, and held a long perverted relationship with at least one---and not know of Vaughan's actions???" Could anybody?

THE REVEREND BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT was the buddy of THE REVEREND CHARLES JOHN VAUGHAN, WORKING CLOSELY UNDER HIM FOR YEARS.

Let's face it, It was impossible.

Why did Westcott keep his mouth shut about the Harrow filth? Why did Symonds? Was it because Harrow's reputation was more important than boys lives?

Harrow did not make the money Penn State made so we can't put money as the culprit but Harrow was an age old institution where government leaders sent their children. The school has matriculated four Prime Ministers, including the likes of Winston Churchill and other uppity ups.

Not only did Westcott keep his mouth shut but he got THE REVEREND C.J. VAUGHAN on the REVISED VERSION translation committee in 1881. So when The Reverend Westcott and his buddy Hort get their critical, corrupt NT text together to attack the King James Bible---they get their perverted pal, Charles John Vaughan on the committee with them. WHY? I'll leave it to you to answer that.

It is of pointed interest to note that the Revised Version of 1881 in England had at least one confirmed sex pervert on the Translation Committee and that he was there because of the main proponent of the Vaticanus/Sinaiticus: The Reverend Brooke Foss Westcott.

But it seems like I heard before something from my Uncle Jesse James Reese like "birds of a feather flock together" when he said (with slightly more colorful language) "I'll be a #@ monkey's uncle if it ain't so. I see sparrows eating seed with sparrows and crows flying together, and by jam black birds falling in the cow pasture by the thousands without one @#+! redbird or parrot among

'em! Queers run with queers." That is what my Uncle Jesse James Reese said, and he was a Hardshell Baptist, and his favorite hymn was "Mona Lisa" by Nat King Cole. That rendition was played on the phonograph as they wheeled his casket out to the graveyard.

The Bible says: "Can two walk together, except they be agreed?" (Amos 3:3). And that does not mean it's OK for one man to be the husband if the other man agrees to be the wife. (footnote 1

PRO-GAY AND LESBIAN TEXTUAL CHANGES IN THE NIV

Corinthians 6:9 NIV 2011).

WHICH LEADS ME TO LOOK AT THE MOST LIKELY ENEMIES OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE

"In classical antiquity, writers such as Herodotus,[1] Plato, [2] Xenophon,[3] Athenaeus[4] and many others explored aspects of same-sex love in ancient Greece. The most widespread and socially significant form of same-sex sexual relations in ancient Greece was between adult men and pubescent or adolescent boys, known as pederasty."
-Wikipedia

(These Greek writers are the primary sources for many of the Greek Lexicons that are used to correct the KJB! -- DR)

The real enemies of the King James Bible are not prostitutes, thieves, liars, whoremongers, drunks, gamblers, cussers, chewers, smokers, sports fanatics that skip church on Sunday for football or spend more on college football tickets than they ever give to God's work---you know, the average run of the mill sinners. All of them usually agree when hearing the word of God: "Well, preacher, you are right. I'm a sinner but I'm not as bad as....." or "I'm going to get right one of these days..." The real enemies are RELIGIOUS PERVERTS. The pervert changes the Bible to excuse his sin.

The most powerful force against any fornication (perverted sex relationships), which includes any sexual activity outside the boundaries of Bible marriage, is the King James Bible. Ever since the Bible was put into the hands of the common man, perverts, especially religious ones, have tried to weaken or eliminate its message. God made one man and made one woman for him in the beginning. As someone noted, "God made Adam and EVE..NOT ADAM and STEVE." That is the standard and the normal relationship of marriage.

It should be no surprise that the chief enemies of the King James Bible are gays, lesbians, and pedophiles, followed by religious leaders who worship the lusts of the flesh. The scriptures condemn them all.

I firmly believe that one reason, if not the major one, Greek is a dead language along with the culture and its influence--- not to *help Bible interpretation*, but because of the Greek worship of the flesh. The Greek man-boy relationship embedded in the culture was perverted. Various themes of nude art and perverse literature was prevalent. Much of that culture

permeates our own today. This is evident by only a cursory search through Greek history and culture.

The Greek lexicons (written by men who studied "Classical Greek" to define Biblical Greek) are not reliable simply because they are founded on worldly Greek and not the Biblical context of usage. The KJB does not slavishly follow the Classical Greek and departs from "uniform translation" so common to the modern Bible translations. A major example is the NIV translation of *diatheke* always as "covenant." The King James Bible translates *diatheke* as both "Testament" and "covenant" depending upon the doctrinal context.

It is of pointed interest to note that the Revised Version of 1881 in England had at least one confirmed sex pervert on the Translation Committee and that he was there because of the main proponent of the Vaticanus/Sinaiticus: The Reverend Brooke Foss Westcott. Along with that, it is also

of note that the original Chairman of the OT Translation Committee for the NIV 1965-1987 was an open supporter of the Gay movement (CARL WOULDSTRA). There was also a "closet" lesbian on that Committee. I think there is sufficient evidence of gay and lesbian influence on the so-called "revisions" of the Bible to warrant a deeper probe into the matter. Time and space prevents us from doing that here.



Here is one of the "great contributions" to art by the Greeks. It depicts "the boys" Zephyros and Hyakinthos, in a passionate embrace. From Hyacinths blood, Apollo supposedly made a larkspur flower or "Hyacinth."

(www.TheoiGreekmythology.com link: Greek Art, Apollos Loves)



"9 Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,"

"10 Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God." (1 Corinthians 6:9-10 King James Bible).

The King James Bible is clear verse 9 referring to sex related sins or as the later verse 18 says "Flee fornication. Every sin that a man doeth is without the body; but he that committeth fornication sinneth against his own body." Verse 9 deals with sins that are "against" a man's own body, while verse 10 deals with sins "without the body." As the context stands, the "idolaters" are those involved in sexual immorality like the other four groups. Historical and archeological records abound with the phallic idolatry of the Gentiles. Lesbians along with queers are also included in the "mankind" term.

New International Version (NIV 1984)

"Do you not know that the wicked will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: Neither the sexually immoral nor idolaters nor adulterers nor male prostitutes nor homosexual offenders."

But the NIV has been fooling with the verse for years, never able to come to the knowledge of the truth (2 Timothy 3:1-7). For whatever reason, the 1984 NIV changed "ye" (the definite second person plural pronoun) to "you" (the NIV's uniform translation of a vague and numberless second person pronoun) and replaced "unrighteous" with "wicked." Then, the NIV 1984 replaced "nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind" with the "nor male prostitutes nor homosexual offenders."

The average reader might think this is a strong condemnation of the queers but it is not read that way by them! The way they read and interpret the passage is that a male prostitute is one who preys on either female or male. In their view this is wrong since it is not a "loving relationship." The "homosexual offender" is one who forces himself on to others--but if he and the other male are in agreement, this is "divine and godly love."

The TNIV 2005 goes a step farther. Notice below that the "practicing homosexual" is under judgment. Again, the key is "practicing" and conveys a non-loving relationship. You see, the word "Sodomy" is out of the NIV 2011 because of the connotation it carries, but the account of Sodom and the city's queers trying to get the "men" that came to see Lot is in the queer Bible because the men were trying to FORCE themselves upon the strangers. Now, isn't that convenient?

Today's New International Version (TNIV 2005)

"Or do you not know that wrongdoers will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: Neither the sexually immoral nor idolaters nor adulterers nor male prostitutes nor practicing homosexuals".

Look at the "wonderful improvement" (!) the NIV 2011 made for the queer in 1 Corinthians 6:9 below. ("passive" means unwilling; "active" means forcing it. This is not translation; it is interpretation.)

(New International Version 2011)

"Or do you not know that wrongdoers will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived: Neither the sexually immoral nor idolaters nor adulterers nor men who have sex with men" (a: footnote)

(a: Footnote in NIV 2011) "The words 'men who have sex with men' translate two Greek words that refer to the passive and active participants in homosexual acts."

The perverts interpretation of the passage is perverted...What else could we expect? The Greek has no such interpretation of the active one *forcing* the other. The passage means exactly what it says in Greek and English. Any and all men who have sex with men shall not inherit the kingdom of God.

Does this mean a queer can not be saved? "Inheriting the kingdom" is not the same as salvation. The fact is that there is (1) an inheritance of the kingdom that is given by grace--that is salvation. But there is also (2) an "inheritance" that is earned in the kingdom of God. (See Ephesians 1:11-13).

It may be a surprise to some that Paul is WRITING TO SAVED PEOPLE IN CORINTHIANS.

**1 PAUL, called to be an apostle of Jesus Christ through the will of God, and Sosthenes our brother,
2 Unto the church of God which is at Corinth, to them that are sanctified in Christ Jesus, called to be saints, with all that in every place call upon the name of Jesus Christ our Lord, both theirs and ours: (1 Corinthians 1:1-2).**

**9 Know ye not that the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind,
10 Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God.
11 And such were some of you: but ye are washed, but ye are sanctified, but ye are justified in the name of the Lord Jesus, and by the Spirit of our God. (1 Corinthians 6:9-11).**

".....AND SUCH WERE SOME OF YOU....."

We should never wrap a Pharisee's robe about us and think that because we did not sin as some others, we are somehow a better class than a druggie, whore, or queer. Or, we perhaps think that other believers who are in a particular sin are not as good as we are. The word of God puts our TV-NEW CAR-HOUSE-GUN-POTS-COMPUTER-MORE THAN YOU NEED GENERATION covetousness right in there with the queers. If you can handle these words, welcome to the blood-bought band of sinners saved by grace, plus nothing, minus nothing!

"9 What then? are we better than they? No, in no wise: for we have before proved both Jews and Gentiles, that they are all under sin;

10 As it is written, There is none righteous, no, not one:" (Romans 3:9-10)

"21 But now the righteousness of God without the law is manifested, being witnessed by the law and the prophets;

22 Even the righteousness of God which is by faith of Jesus Christ unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference:

23 For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;

24 Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus:

25 Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God;

26 To declare, I say, at this time his righteousness: that he might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus." (Romans 3:21-26).

(None of this is to excuse sins but the word of God is THE FINAL AUTHORITY.....to be continued)

**BIBLE WORD STUDY
WILL BE SENT FREE TO ANY ONE REQUESTING IT WITH AN EMAIL
ADDRESS**

**IF YOU HAVE A FRIEND WHO WOULD LIKE THE BIBLE WORD STUDY,
HAVE THEM EMAIL US AT davereese6@msn.com**

REQUEST REMOVAL AT SAME EMAIL ADDRESS

**THOSE READERS WITHOUT EMAIL MAY REQUEST FREE COPIES FROM
PO BOX 4574 BEEVILLE TEXAS 78104**

END NOTE: C.J. VAUGHAN

"16 September 1859 (Age 43) Resigned the headmastership of Harrow to avoid a sexual scandal

*Note: Vaughan had routinely flogged in public boys accused of sexual misconduct, but around 1850-1851 Vaughan himself appears to have been engaged in a passionate affair with a Harrow pupil Alfred Pretor (b. 1840), a friend of J. A. Symonds. Pretor showed Symonds love letters from Vaughan. Symonds kept silent for eight years but eventually told an Oxford tutor who informed Symonds' father, Dr. J. A. Symonds (1807–1871), often known as Symonds primus. Symonds primus confronted Vaughan and threatened to expose him publicly unless he resigned from Harrow and never took any high position in the church. Negotiations for Vaughan's quiet departure from the school were managed by Vaughan's brother-in-law, Arthur Stanley (18230). Vaughan's explanation of his decision to Harrow parents was: 'I have resolved after much deliberation, to take that opportunity of relieving myself from the long pressure of these heavy duties and anxious responsibilities which are inseparable from such an office, even under the most favourable circumstances.'
(<http://www.stanford.edu/group/auden/cgi-bin/auden/search.php>)*

****END NOTE: BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT**

"In 1844 Westcott obtained a scholarship at Trinity College, Cambridge, and was awarded a double-first honours degree. In 1851 he was ordained and became an assistant master at Harrow School, where he remained for nearly 20 years. Soon after his arrival he married. He vigorously pursued his academic work, combining his school duties with a great deal of theological research." (http://www.ely.anglican.org/about/good_and_great/bfwestcott.html)

****END NOTE: CHARLES JOHN VAUGHAN - REVISED VERSION 1881 NT COMMITTEE MEMBER**

"Born in 1816; educated at Rugby School under Dr. Arnold; graduated at Trinity College, Cambridge, with high honor; became a clergyman in the Church of England; taught in Harrow School with great success; refused the bishopric of Rochester; became master of the Temple in 1869, and is Chancellor of York Cathedral and Chaplain in ordinary to the queen. He is a recognized leader of the "Broad Church" party, and is eminent as a pulpit orator, and as a commentator on the Pauline epistles. His published works exceed forty volumes." (Taken from Isaac H. Hall, ed., The Revised New Testament and History of Revision. Philadelphia: Hubbard Brothers; Atlanta: C.R. Blackall & Co.; New York: A.L. Bancroft & Co., 1881. www.bible-researcher.com)

**** THE ABOVE NOTES FROM UNBIASED SOURCES DEMONSTRATE WHAT BULL IS SPREAD ABOUT MEN WHO ACHIEVE WORLDLY SUCCESS SO ADMIRER BY SIMPLE PEOPLE! WESTCOTT WORKED UNDER A PEDOPHILE PERVERT FOR 20 YEARS AND SUPPOSEDLY DID NOT KNOW IT.... VAUGHAN WAS "EMINENT AS A PULPIT ORATOR" AND HIS "PUBLISHED WORKS EXCEED FORTY VOLUMES"--BIG DEAL--HE WAS A PEDOPHILE, A PERVERT, AND A HABITUAL LIAR. ELVIS WAS "THE KING" BUT HE FELL OFF HIS GRACELAND "THRONE" AND DIED IN A DRUG HAZE; ALEXANDER "THE GREAT" CHOKED ON HIS ALCOHOL VOMIT AT 24. WHAT'S NEW?--DR**